Case 3:03-cv-05499-SI	Document 445	Filed 03/09/07	Page 1 of 2
	Document 443	I lica corcoro	1 UUC 1 01 4

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

28

1			
2			
3			
4	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6			
7	ROSEANNE SAKAMOTO, No. C 03-5499 SI		
8	Plaintiff, SPECIAL VERDICT		
9	V.		
10	STEPHEN JOHNSON and U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,		
11	Defendants.		
12	·		
13	We, the jury in the above entitled case, unanimously find as follows on the Special Verdic		
14	presented to us:		
15			
16	Claim for Disparate Discriminatory Treatment:		
17	Question No. 1: Did plaintiff Roseanne Sakamoto prove by a preponderance of the evidence all of the		
18	elements necessary to prove her claim for disparate discriminatory treatment by defendant EPA, as tha		
19	has been defined for you in the instructions?		
20	Yes No		
21			
22			
23	Claim for Retaliation		
24	Question No. 2: Did plaintiff, Roseanne Sakamoto prove by a preponderance of the evidence all of the		
25	elements necessary to prove her claim for retaliation by defendant EPA because she engaged in		
26	protected activities, as that has been defined for you in the instructions?		
27	Yes No		

Case 3:03-cv-05499-SI Document 445 Filed 03/09/07 Page 2 of 2

1	If your answer to both questions above was "No," do not answer any further questions; go to the end
2	of this form, have your foreperson sign and date it, and return it to the Court. If your answer to eithe
3	question above was "Yes," please answer the next questions.
4	
5	Question No. 3: Did plaintiff Roseanne Sakamoto prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that she
6	suffered damages caused by the disparate discriminatory treatment or retaliation which you have found
7	Yes No
8	
9	If your answer to Question No. 3 was "No," do not answer the next question; instead please go to the
10	end of this form, have your foreperson sign and date it, and return it to the Court. If your answer to
11	Question No. 3 was "Yes," please answer the next question
12	
13	Question No. 4: What amount of damages, if any, did plaintiff Roseanne Sakamoto prove, by
14	preponderance of the evidence, that she suffered because of the disparate discriminatory treatment o
15	retaliation which you have found? (You may not consider lost earnings or future lost earnings
16	sometimes called back pay or front pay. The award of back pay or front pay, should you find the EPA
17	liable, will be decided and calculated by the Court.)
18	
19	\$
20	
21	
22	Dated:
23	
24	FOREPERSON
25	I OKLI EKSON
26	
27	
28	